Filed 01/17/25

Page 1 of 2

5:24-CV-01321-BLF

Case 5:24-cv-01321-BLF Document 136-1

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
l	0
l	1
l	2
l	3
l	4
	5
l	6
	7
l	8
l	
	0
2	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
2	8

	1.	I am an attorney at Bailey & Glasser, LLP and one of the attorneys representing
the F	ederal I	Deposit Insurance Corporation as Receiver for Silicon Valley Bank ("FDIC-R1"), in
the al	bove-ca	ptioned case.

2. The FDIC-R1 has filed an Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Cases Should Be Related, asking that the Court enter an order determining that the above-captioned case is related to the newly filed action styled *Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation as Receiver for Silicon Valley Bank v. Becker et al.*, No. 5:25-cv-00568-NC (*FDIC-R v. Becker*). Under Civil Local Rule 7-11(a), the FDIC-R1 is submitting a proposed order instead of a stipulation in connection with this motion because the defendants in *FDIC-R v. Becker* have not yet been served and therefore it would not be practical to obtain a stipulation consistent with the requirement in Local Rule 3-12(b) that FDIC-R1 "promptly file" its related-case motion.

Executed this 17th day of January 2025.

/s/ Elliott McGraw Elliott McGraw